

Canonical Open Questions and Frontier Areas

Reality Drift Framework – A. Jacobs

Status: Canonical

Version: v1.0

Issued: June 2026

The Reality Drift framework remains an open system. While its core structures have reached a relatively stable canonical form, many of its implications, operational uses, and boundary conditions remain unresolved. These frontier areas represent active sites of inquiry where further refinement, testing, and application remain necessary.

Operationalization: A central open question is whether Reality Drift can move from interpretive diagnosis into formal evaluative systems. Future work may involve institutional audits, semantic integrity models, governance protocols, or drift-detection architectures capable of identifying divergence before visible failure occurs.

Artificial Intelligence: Modern AI intensifies many of the conditions central to Reality Drift, including compression, proxy optimization, synthetic coherence, semantic degradation, and representational substitution. The long-term implications of increasingly autonomous semantic systems remain unresolved, particularly where machine-generated outputs begin mediating human access to reality itself.

Institutions and Scale: It remains unclear whether large systems can meaningfully self-correct once drift becomes normalized within their operational logic. This raises broader questions about governance, accountability, and whether drift is a contingent failure or an inevitable byproduct of complexity, scale, and abstraction.

Cognition and Perception: The relationship between mediated environments, recursive semantic systems, and the internal architecture of attention, memory, and selfhood remains incomplete. Future inquiry may clarify how prolonged drift conditions reshape not only external systems, but the cognitive structures through which those systems are perceived.

Semantic Systems: As language, retrieval, and machine-generated knowledge become increasingly central to modern cognition, unresolved questions remain around provenance, fidelity, interpretability, and semantic integrity. This frontier directly extends into the Semantic Fidelity layer of the broader framework.

Intellectual Lineage: The framework remains open to further integration with adjacent traditions in systems theory, cybernetics, institutional analysis, philosophy, and AI governance. These lineages may continue refining its internal architecture and extending its explanatory range.

These frontier areas define the unfinished edges of the Reality Drift framework. They are boundaries where the framework remains in active contact with reality and therefore capable of revision. A diagnostic framework concerned with drift must itself remain corrigible. Its unfinished edges are part of its integrity.