

Why Does Everything Feel Fake Online?

A modern question about coherence and contact

A. Jacobs | Reality Drift Framework

Abstract

Online systems increasingly select and refine the visible cues of authenticity. Vulnerability, intimacy, spontaneity, and emotional directness become formats that can be measured, reproduced, and optimized. This concept note explains why online life can feel artificial even when individual content is sincere, and why optimized representations can eventually feel more authentic than the irregular realities they represent.

The Question

Why Does Everything Feel Fake Online?

The Felt Experience

Online posts can feel personal and oddly familiar at the same time. Influencers tell similar stories about vulnerability. Brands try to sound casual. Customer service adopts the language of warmth and empathy. Photos are edited to look natural, and even supposedly spontaneous expression starts to follow recognizable patterns.

None of this has to be fake in the usual sense. The issue is that the signs we associate with authenticity have become repeatable. Once those signs can be copied, refined, and rewarded at scale, they can start to feel more convincing than the slower, messier relationships they were originally meant to reflect.

The Coherent Surface

The coherent surface is engineered authenticity. Online content is made immediately recognizable as sincere, intimate, candid, or human. Its tone, pacing, imagery, and emotional structure fit what people have learned genuine expression is supposed to look like.

What the Coherence Conceals

What gets hidden is the difference between lived context and optimized cues. Ordinary reality is incomplete, awkward, and resistant to neat conclusions. Optimized content removes much of that

friction. It delivers emotional meaning in a cleaner form while obscuring the selection, editing, incentives, and repeated formats that produced it.

How the Drift Develops

The process begins with lived experience, which produces uneven and sometimes contradictory signals of sincerity, intimacy, and presence. Platforms and audiences gradually learn which visible cues are most likely to be read as authentic. The most legible of those cues receive more attention, imitation, and distribution. Successful forms are then copied, compressed, regenerated, and refined. Over time, polished representations can become easier to recognize as authentic than ordinary lived experience. This produces a perceptual inversion. The representation no longer simply imitates reality. It begins to influence the standard by which reality itself is judged. This is Synthetic Realness.

Connection to Reality Drift

Reality Drift appears here as a growing distance between representations of authenticity and the contexts that once gave those signals meaning. The environment remains coherent and emotionally readable, but the cues guiding judgment become less answerable to provenance, relationship, consequence, and lived context. The system still works. The signals remain convincing. What weakens is the ability of the underlying reality to correct the representation.

Related Concepts

Synthetic Realness describes the condition in which optimized representations can appear more authentic, trustworthy, or emotionally meaningful than the less legible reality behind them.

Optimization Trap appears when engagement becomes the practical goal and visible signals of authenticity begin displacing the harder-to-measure conditions that originally gave those signals meaning.

Semantic Fidelity concerns whether emotional meaning survives without losing the context that made it specific.

Recursive Compression occurs when successful formats become inputs for later content, producing increasing sameness beneath apparent variety.

Filter Fatigue develops when users must continually decide which polished signals deserve trust, attention, or emotional investment.

Series Context

The series examines familiar experiences of modern life that emerge when representations become smoother, faster, and more internally coherent while losing corrective contact with lived reality. Across the series, the central question is what that coherence still remains answerable to. A

representation can become more fluent, legible, and persuasive while losing the context and consequence that once corrected it.

In this case, the problem is not that everything online is false. It is that the recognizable signs of authenticity can become easier to produce than the conditions that once made them trustworthy.

Coherence is not contact.