

Why Does Modern Life Feel Overwhelming?

A modern question about coherence and contact

A. Jacobs | Reality Drift Framework

Abstract

Modern systems promise relevance through feeds, recommendations, rankings, notifications, and personalized choices. Yet every layer of automated filtering creates additional judgments for the user. This concept note introduces Filter Fatigue as the continuous cognitive burden of deciding what matters, what can be ignored, and what deserves trust inside environments whose filtering logic remains largely invisible.

The Question

Why Does Modern Life Feel Overwhelming?

The Felt Experience

Checking email, picking something to watch, comparing products, following the news, keeping up with appointments, and answering messages can make a normal day feel strangely exhausting. None of these things is difficult on its own. What wears you down is having to make one small decision after another.

The same thing happens online. Feeds are already sorted, recommendations are already ranked, and alerts arrive as if they matter. But you still have to decide what is actually worth your attention, what can be ignored, and what fits into the rest of your day. The problem is not just too much information. It is having to keep deciding what matters.

The Coherent Surface

The coherent surface is personalization. Information appears to be organized around the user through rankings, suggested actions, priority labels, and tailored interfaces. It creates the impression that complexity has already been reduced.

What the Coherence Conceals

Personalization does not remove judgment as much as it moves judgment somewhere else. The user still has to evaluate the recommendation, recover missing context, compare competing systems,

manage changing settings, and decide whether the output can be trusted. The system does the sorting, but the person still has to make sense of what remains.

How the Drift Develops

Digital environments produce more signals, options, updates, and claims than any person can fully examine. Platforms respond by filtering and ranking that material according to their own operational measures. Each selected item then arrives with an implied claim that it deserves attention. The user has to judge importance, credibility, timing, and fit across many systems whose selection logic is only partly visible.

That means filtering does not end with the platform. A second layer of filtering falls to the person. Meaning and priority have to be reconstructed after the technical system has already made its choices. Over time, navigation itself becomes tiring. What begins as convenience can become a persistent cognitive tax, including during ordinary leisure and routine activity. This is Filter Fatigue.

Connection to Reality Drift

The system remains highly functional. Recommendations arrive, dashboards update, and choices multiply. The drift appears in the gap between organized information and usable orientation. Representations can become more personalized and coherent while becoming less capable of settling what actually matters in a person's life. The system keeps helping with selection while leaving the harder work of meaning unresolved.

Related Concepts

Filter Fatigue describes the exhaustion created by continuous relevance filtering, comparison, curation, and interpretation.

Optimization Trap appears when systems optimize measurable engagement and choice activity rather than reducing the user's total cognitive burden.

Constraint Collapse can occur when guidance remains present but loses enough authority that it no longer reliably settles action or forces correction.

Semantic Fidelity concerns whether information preserves enough context and relationship to remain useful for interpretation.

Cognitive Drift can develop when repeated mediation shifts judgment toward ranked signals and away from direct contact with underlying conditions.

Series Context

The series examines familiar experiences of modern life that emerge when representations become smoother, faster, and more internally coherent while losing corrective contact with lived reality.

Across the series, the central question is what that coherence still remains answerable to. A representation can become more fluent, legible, and persuasive while losing the context and consequence that once corrected it.

In this case, the problem is not simply that there is too much information. It is that systems can organize information without actually resolving what deserves attention, leaving the final burden of relevance with the individual.

Coherence is not contact.